SC NAACP v. Alexander, D.S.C. Case No. 3:21-cv-03302-MGL-TJH-RMG

## EXHIBIT 1

	Page 1
1	
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3	DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
4	CASE NO. 3:21-CV-03302-MBS-TJH-RMG
5	x
6	THE SOUTH CAROLINA CONFERENCE OF THE
7	NAACP, et al,
8	Plaintiffs,
9	-against-
10	
11	THOMAS C. ALEXANDER, et al,
12	Defendants.
13	x
14	July 21, 2022
	9:30 a.m.
15	
16	ZOOM VIRTUAL CONFERENCE
17	
18	VIDEOCONFERENCE Examination
19	Before Trial of SEAN PATRICK TRENDE, taken
20	by the Defendants, via Zoom Technology,
21	pursuant to Notice, held before R. Bobbie
22	Levy, a Certified Shorthand Reporter and
23	Notary Public of the State of New York.
24	
25	

Page 40 1 S. Trende 2 Α Without making us sit through 3 the exercise of me reading the report, I think that's right and I have no reason 4 5 to doubt you. 6 Is it fair that you have no 7 opinions you intend to offer at trial 8 about the reports filed by Dr. Duchin, 9 Dr. Liu or Dr. Bagley? 10 Certainly not their opening Α 11 I don't know how things work reports. 12 with their rebuttal reports since I know 13 Dr. Liu, Dr. Bagley and Dr. Duchin filed 14 rebuttal reports to my opinion. And 15 Dr. Raqusa. 16 So just so we are clear, Okav. 17 as to their initial reports you are not 18 planning to offer any opinions concerning 19 the initial reports of Dr. Duchin, Dr. Liu 20 or Dr. Bagley, correct? 21 Α That's correct. 22 Q And I'll ask the question asked earlier. Are you planning to supplement 23 24 your reports in any way to address the 25 rebuttal reports of Dr. Duchin, Dr. Liu,

Page 41 1 S. Trende 2 Dr. Bagley or Dr. Ragusa? 3 I don't intend to do any Α No. supplemental reports or work respecting 4 5 the expert reports of Dr. Duchin, 6 Dr. Liu, L-i-u or Dr. Bagley. 7 So let me circle back. Are you 8 planning to offer any opinions at trial 9 about the analysis presented by 10 Dr. Duchin, Dr. Liu or Dr. Bagley? 11 I have no idea what might be asked as sort of rehabilitation to the 12 13 extent you cross me on their rebuttal 14 reports. But with respect to their 15 initial reports, I don't have any 16 intention to testify with respect to 17 those. 18 Okay. And with regard to their Q 19 rebuttal reports, putting aside from I 20 might ask you on cross-examination, do you 21 have any plans to testify about their 22 rebuttal reports in your direct testimony? 23 Well, again, I don't have, I Α 24 don't even know if I'll be testifying 25 live at trial. And I certainly haven't

Page 42 1 S. Trende 2 and doubt I will draft my direct. 3 no, I don't have any intentions to do so. 4 Sitting here today do you Q Okay. 5 have any opinions concerning Dr. Duchin's 6 rebuttal report? 7 I have certainly read it and 8 given it thought. So I assume I have 9 opinions with respect to it. So yes. 10 What are your opinions with 11 regards to Dr. Duchin's rebuttal report? 12 I couldn't rehearse them on the 13 fly. We would have to walk through that 14 report. 15 Are there any, sitting here 16 today are you prepared to express any 17 opinions regarding Dr. Duchin's report? 18 Α Well, again not on the fly but 19 as we go through, if you show me 20 Dr. Duchin's rebuttal report and ask me about something, there's a reasonable 21 22 chance I have an opinion about it. 23 So I'm not going to close myself 24 out of the ability to give that answer 25 two hours later if we are going through

Page 43 1 S. Trende 2 Dr. Duchin's rebuttal report. 3 Q Do you know when Dr. Duchin's 4 rebuttal report was served? 5 Α I do not. 6 0 It was served on May 4th. 7 same day you served your rebuttal report. 8 So you have had over two months to express 9 any opinions you had regarding Dr. 10 Duchin's report or supplementary report. 11 Is there a reason you have not 12 prepared a supplemental report to address 13 Dr. Duchin's any criticisms you have, Dr. Duchin's supplemental rebuttal report? 14 15 Α Well, from what I was informed 16 about the scheduling order, it doesn't 17 include a supplement. And it's pretty 18 unusual in my experience to move to go 19 outside the scheduling order to write a 20 supplement. And I wasn't asked by 21 counsel to prepare one. 22 Q Same question with regard to 23 Dr. Liu. Is there a reason why, do you 24 have any, sitting here today can you tell 25 me any criticisms you have of Dr. Liu

Page 44 1 S. Trende 2 based on his rebuttal report? 3 Well, again it's the same basic Α These are not lengthy reports 4 answer. 5 but substantial reports. And so on the fly, I certainly couldn't give you all my 6 7 thoughts and opinions on it. 8 That said, if you were to ask me 9 about things on cross, that I have 10 thought about or even at the time have 11 impressions about, I'm not inclined to 12 close myself out of the ability to give 13 that answer. 14 Sitting here today are you 0 15 prepared to identify any criticisms you 16 have of Dr. Liu based on his rebuttal 17 report? 18 Α Well, again, two hours from now 19 if we go through Dr. Liu's rebuttal 20 report and you asked me about something, 21 I'll probably have given it some thought 22 and have opinions about it. 23 Nothing in it changed my mind 24 nor in Dr. Duchin's report. But beyond 25 that sitting right here, no, I could not

Page 45 1 S. Trende 2 tell you what my specific opinions with 3 respect to Dr. Liu are. 4 Okay. And in the time since Q 5 Dr. Liu's rebuttal report was served in 6 early May, is there any reason why you 7 couldn't have expressed any opinions you 8 had concerning Dr. Liu's analysis in a 9 supplemental report? 10 Well, again, to my 11 understanding, the scheduling order 12 doesn't provide for third or supplemental 13 reports to respond to expert opinions. 14 And in my experience it would be 15 unusual to revise the scheduling order to 16 provide for such reports. And beyond 17 that, I wasn't asked to do so by Counsel. 18 Q Okay. And then same question 19 with regard to Dr. Bagley. Sitting here 20 today, are you, sitting here today can you articulate any criticisms you have of 21 22 Dr. Bagley based on his rebuttal report? 23 Well, again, it's a substantial Α 24 So on the fly in response to an 25 open-ended question, I wouldn't be able

Page 46 1 S. Trende 2 to come up with a list of responses or 3 objections to Dr. Bagley's report. With that said, you may at some point in the 4 5 future ask me questions about Dr. 6 Bagley's report and I wouldn't want to 7 close myself out of being able to give an 8 answer there. 9 In addition, if you were to 10 cross me on things at trial, it's likely 11 I would have a response to them. 12 again, sitting here right now at this 13 moment, in response to an open-ended 14 question, I can't give a list, no. 15 Sitting here today can you 16 identify any criticisms you have of 17 Dr. Bagley? 18 Α Again, without going through the 19 rebuttal report I would not have 20 something in response to an open-ended 21 question. 22 0 Okay. And in the time since 23 Dr. Bagley searched his rebuttal report in 24 early May, is there any reason you don't 25 have prepared a supplemental report to

Page 47 1 S. Trende 2 address any criticisms you have of 3 Dr. Bagley's report? Well, again, to my understanding 4 Α 5 the scheduling order doesn't provide for 6 supplemental reports. And in my 7 experience it will be unusual to modify 8 scheduling order to do so. And I haven't 9 been asked to do so by counsel. 10 Do you know any of the 11 plaintiffs' experts, any of the five 12 individuals? 13 Α Not on a personal level, no. 14 Do you know any of them on a 0 15 professional level? 16 I have seen Dr. Imai, and 17 Dr. Duchin give testimony. But that's the extent of it. 18 19 Are you familiar with Dr. Imai's Q 20 professional qualifications? 21 In a general sense, yes. 22 Q Do you have any opinion about 23 his qualifications to provide his opinions 24 in his reports? 25 Α I think he is certainly

	Page 225
1	
2	EXHIBITS
3	FOR IDENTIFICATION
4	EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE
5	Exhibit 17 Email dated 11/24/21 165
6	Bats stamped
7	SC-Senate-00005245
8	Labeled Tab 35
9	
10	Exhibits attached electronically to
11	transcript
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	